

US-Iran Relations in International Law since 1979: Hostages, Oil Platforms, Nuclear Weapons and the Use of Force

Patrick Terry: US-Iran Relations in International Law since 1979: Hostages, Oil Platforms, Nuclear Weapons and the Use of Force

LIBERTAS Paper 70, 87 pages, ISBN 978-3-937642-08-6.

15 EUR (payable in EUR – outside the European Union plus postage); electronic version 12 EUR (pdf will be sent by e-mail after receiving the amount of the invoice on our bank account)

Can be ordered either in every bookshop or directly at:

LIBERTAS - Europaeisches Institut GmbH (LIBERTAS Verlag), Lindenweg 37, 72414 Rangendingen (Hechingen), Germany, phone +49 7471 984996-0, fax +49 7471 984996-19, eMail: verlag@libertas-institut.com, www.libertas-institut.com

The Publication

Iran is currently celebrating the 30th anniversary of the Islamic Revolution. This event not only heralded enormous changes within Iran itself but also resulted in a clear break with the United States, formerly a close ally. Since 1979 bilateral relations between these two countries have veered from crisis to crisis. Occasionally, however, there have been times where improved US-Iran ties just seemed possible.

2009 might just be such a turning point in this fraught relationship. President Obama's more conciliatory approach to relations with Iran and the upcoming elections in Iran in June - with many hoping a reformist candidate, possibly Moussavi, might be elected - could be the last chance to avoid another, potentially extremely dangerous, confrontation between the two states.

Certainly one lesson can be drawn from the bilateral confrontations of the last thirty years: using and threatening to use force has not aided either country in their respective quests to achieve regional and global foreign policy goals.

This paper examines US-Iran relations since 1979 under international law aspects, focusing on their most dangerous aspect: the repeated use of force. Despite influential circles in both Iran and the USA openly questioning the existence of any legal rules in international affairs both states have always sought to justify their forcible actions under international law. The story of US-Iran relations in international law since 1979 is thus also a story of ever expanding concepts of self-defence.

Three major crises in the US-Iran relationship resulting or possibly still going to result in forcible action will be dealt with in this paper. The hostage crisis of 1979-1981 will serve as a starting point. Iran's justification of its actions and the legality of the American attempt, in 1980, to rescue its nationals will be examined in detail. Then the focus will turn to the Iran-Iraq War and the US attacks on Iranian oil platforms. It will be considered whether these actions were justified on the grounds of self-defence. Following that the current crisis surrounding Iran's nuclear programme will be discussed. Iran's obligations under the Non-Proliferation Treaty and America's controversial doctrine of "pre-emptive" self-defence will be examined in some detail.

It will be concluded that the US-Iran relationship – characterized by an intense competition for power and influence in the Middle East - is in practical terms already living up to the ideals espoused by neoconservatives and nationalists in Washington and radicals in Tehran: a foreign policy freed from the shackles of international law. Not one of the forcible actions undertaken by either Iran or the United States, it is argued, was legal under international law. Far from helping these two states pursue their national interests and achieve their regional and global goals – as American and Iranian radicals had hoped - this strategy has, however, resulted in abysmal failure for both.

It remains to be seen whether this lesson has really been learned allowing new administrations in both states to pursue more successful policies in future.

The paper is based on the dissertation submitted by the author to the University of Kent for the degree of LL.M. in September 2008 (Prof. Mansell), but has been updated since.

The Author



Patrick Terry currently lives in the UK but lived in Germany until 2007. He obtained his first law degree (Erstes Staatsexamen) from the University of Tübingen in 1995. In 1997 he gained his second law degree (Zweites Staatsexamen) in Stuttgart.

From 1998-2007 he worked in the state legal system of Baden-Württemberg where he was granted tenure as a Judge in 2002. During this period he also worked as a lecturer in civil law, preparing postgraduates for the second state exam in law. Furthermore he served as an elected judges' representative for four years.

In 2007/2008 he then pursued postgraduate studies at the University of Kent and was awarded a LL.M. in "International Law with International Relations" in January 2009 (Conferment in July 2009); he received a "distinction" and will be awarded an academic achievement prize by the university. He is currently pursuing another postgraduate degree in international law.

Patrick Terry has always had a keen interest in international affairs and has travelled widely.

Content (extracts)

Before and after 1953 (Historical Background to current US-Iran-Relations)

Hostages (Background, the ICJ's Judgment (May 24, 1980), Iran's "defence"- a valid claim of self-help?, the American rescue mission ("Operation Eagle Claw"): Legality of rescue missions to save nationals under the UN Charter, Legality under customary international law, Treatment of the ICJ by both states, etc.)

Oil Platforms (Background, the ICJ's Judgement (November 6, 2003), American attacks on Iran 1987/1988 - cases of self-defence or armed reprisals?

Nuclear Weapons (Background, Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons [Basic weaknesses, Iran's conduct, the USA's conduct], "Pre-emptive" self-defence [Collective or unilateral action, anticipatory self-defence, the *Caroline* incident, Article 2 (4) UN Charter, Article 51 UN Charter, "New" customary international law, "Pre-emptive" self-defence as emerging law, etc.])